FILED

MAY 28 2013

Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
MARC GREENBAUM
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
CHRISTINA THOMAS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 171163
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-2557
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF VOCATIONAL NURSING AND PSYCHIATRIC TECHNICIANS
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

LOUISE MARIA ESTRADA

160 N. El Molino Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101

Attorneys for Complainant

Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31591

Respondent.

Case No. PT-2009-2535

ACCUSATION

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

- 1. Teresa Bello-Jones, J.D., M.S.N., R.N. (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).
- 2. On or about February 7, 2003, the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians issued Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31591 to Louise Maria Estrada (Respondent). The Psychiatric Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on June 30, 2012.

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, and cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Under section 2892.1 of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within four (4) years after the expiration.
 - 5. Section 490 states:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of *nolo contendere*. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 6. Section 4520 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensed psychiatric technician for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 4520) of the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Code § 4500, et. seq.)
 - 7. Section 4521 states, in pertinent part:

"The board may suspend or revoke a license issued under this chapter [the Psychiatric Technicians Law (Bus. & Prof Code, 4500, et seq.)] for any of the following reasons:

///

Unprofessional conduct, which includes but is not limited to any of the following:

1

- (1) Reporting to the Board unprofessional conduct as defined in Section 4521(a) of the Business and Professions Code;
- (2) Documenting patient/client care in accordance with standards of the profession; and
- (3) Performing services in accordance with Section 125.6 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (b) A licensed psychiatric technician shall adhere to standards of the profession and shall incorporate ethical and behavioral standards of professional practice which include but are not limited to the following:
 - (1) Maintaining current knowledge and skills for safe and competent practice;
 - (2) Maintaining patient/client confidentiality;
 - (3) Maintaining professional boundaries with the patient/client; and
 - (4) Abstaining from chemical/substance abuse.
- (c) A violation of this section constitutes unprofessional conduct for purposes of initiating disciplinary action.
 - 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2578, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed psychiatric technician if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensed psychiatric technician to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

. . . .

"(c) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of Chapter 10, Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code."

///

///

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4521, subdivision (f), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2578, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a psychiatric technician as follows:
- a. On or about September 13, 2012, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of Penal Code section 666(a) [Petty theft with 3 priors] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise M. Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 2012, No. GA87109.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 180 days in jail and placed her on formal probation for 3 years on terms and conditions, with a requirement to enter a 6 month treatment program upon release from jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about August 3, 2012, at the Pasadena, CA Von's Grocery Store, Respondent did feloniously steal and take the personal property of another while having prior convictions.
- b. On or about June 14, 2012, after pleading guilty pursuant to a plea agreement, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Health & Safety Code section 11350 (a) [possession of a controlled substance], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise Maria Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 2012, No. BA384426). The judge convicted Respondent, ordered a bench warrant and set bail at \$50,000.00. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about May 11, 2011, LAPD arrested Respondent at Huntington Memorial Hospital after she admitted to overdosing on heroin.

- c. On or about December 29, 2009, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 459 [burglary], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise Maria Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 2009, No. 9AH05684). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 6 days in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 3 years, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about December 24, 2009, a South Pasadena Police Department Officer was dispatched to the Pavillions' store in the city of Pasadena, California, to investigate a petty theft by a female subject. The Respondent was identified by the Pavillions' store manager as the female subject that had shoplifted and walked out of the store without paying for items. During the investigation, the Respondent admitted to the officer that she had not paid for the items and that she went to the store with the intention to steal them.
- d. On or about December 9, 1992, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violation Vehicle Code § 10851(a) [unlawful driving or taking of a vehicle] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise M. Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 1992, No. BA068234.) The Court sentenced Respondent to 180 days, and reinstated the jail term for Respondent's probation violation for leaving a court-ordered drug treatment program. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 20, 1992, Respondent did willfully and unlawfully drive a certain vehicle, to wit, a 1987 Mazda, the property of another, without the consent of and with intent to deprive said owner of title to and possession of said vehicle.
- e. On or about November 23, 1992, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 666 [petty theft with prior jail: specific offenses], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise Maria Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 1992, No. 92M02222). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 90 days in jail and placed her on probation for a period of 2 years. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 23, 1992, Respondent did unlawfully and willingly commit petty theft against another person and was convicted of petty theft three or more times prior to February 23, 1992, violating Penal Code section 666.

- f. On or about November 9, 1989, after pleading *nolo contendere*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484 [petty theft], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise Maria Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 1988, No. 88F06725). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 10 days in jail, and placed her on probation for a period of 24 months. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 24, 1988, Respondent did feloniously steal, and take the personal property of another person with the intent to defraud that person violating Penal Code section 484.
- g. On or about August 20, 1987, after pleading *guilty*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [petty theft of personal property], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Louise Maria Estrada* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 1987, No. 87M04996). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 2 years, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about August 14, 1987, Respondent did feloniously steal and take the personal property of another person with the intent to defraud that person violating Penal Code section 484.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Dishonesty)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4521, subdivision (n), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that involved dishonest acts. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13, subparagraphs (a) through (g), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Notify Board of Address Change)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2564, in that Respondent failed to provide the Board with her current mailing address, within 30 days after making the change as required by the regulation.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14.

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

LA2011601012

51141728.docx

28

(Failure to Respond to Board Inquiries)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2564.1, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to respond to the Board's inquiry for additional information within 30 calendar days from the date of service of the inquiry as required by the regulation.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Adhere to the Ethical/Behavioral Standards of Practice)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 2576.6, subdivisions (b) and (c), in that Respondent failed to adhere to the Ethical/Behavioral Standards of Practice when she was convicted of crimes involving burglary, petty theft, and theft. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 13 through 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Psychiatric Technician License No. PT 31591, issued to Louise Maria Estrada;
- 2. Ordering Louise Maria Estrada to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: May 28,2013

TERESA BELLO-JONES, J.D., M.S.N., R.N.

Executive Officer

Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complain ant